Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/552,626	GRAF ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL A. HESS	2876	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>DANIEL A. HESS</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Justin Cassell</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 14 July 2010	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: n/a			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
/Daniel A Hess/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2876	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	ppropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/552,626

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It is noted that the examiner's amendment above is merely to properly incorporate the limitations of claim 3 of the 10/19/2009 claim set into claim 1. That was the stated intent of the 5/3/2010 amendment, but some grammatical errors had been introduced in the 5/3/2010 amendment which would have impacted the meaning of the claims.